

AFTER THE PROTESTS AND THE PANDEMIC: REASSESSING THE INTERNATIONAL PROFILE OF POST-CASTRO CUBA

Laurence Whitehead, Senior Research Fellow, Nuffield College, Oxford University; Research Associate at the German Institute of Global and Area Studies (GIGA), Hamburg

Bert Hoffmann, Senior Researcher del GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies (Hamburgo, Alemania) y director de la oficina del GIGA en Berlín

ABSTRACT

As Cuba enters 2022, economic crisis and social tensions loom large. The paper reviews the external difficulties that interact with and reinforce the island's domestic issues. Looking beyond the immediate situation it reflects on underlying international pressures and constraints that will shape the options for the Cuban nation over the next decade. Its analysis encompasses Cuba's relations with the US and with the EU, as well as those with Venezuela, Mexico, China, Russia and Canada, and it asks to what extent Cuban-made COVID-19 vaccines can re-boost the country's soft-power projection. In comparative perspective, Cuba's regime -and its international perspective, the island's regime -and its international profile- are in many ways still unlike any other, and predictive schemas based on false analogies risk being misleading.

The "Europe-Cuba Forum" in 2021 concentrated on the acute domestic governance challenges now facing the Cuban regime, as the resulting volume on social policy and institutional transformation (Hoffmann 2021) attests. The final round in the project turns towards the tremendous external difficulties that interact with and reinforce these internal issues. There are massive imponderables, and unpredictable short term risks attached to both these dynamics. But they also have an underlying structure that has persisted for several decades, and that may well continue to generate gridlock and dysfunctionality for years to come. Just as we explored the potential for a domestic course correction guided by the UN Sustainable Development Goals for 2030, so should our next contribution try to look beyond the immediate situation to reflect on underlying international pressures and constraints that will shape the options for the Cuban nation over the next decade, however the current potentially "critical" juncture unfolds.

SÍGUENOS EN

www.foroeuropacuba.org





US-CUBA RELATIONS

Inevitably the starting point must be the dynamics of the US-Cuban relationship. While the Florida election of 2022 and the presidential contest of 2024 pose existential risks to the current fragile cross-straits equilibrium, there remain certain parameters that are predictable. While it may be an open question whether or not Puerto Rico becomes a US state, the republic of Cuba will remain a separate sovereign nation with its own historical consciousness and a set of inheritances that diverge radically from the US American worldview. For example, the healthcare system and its distinctive profile can hardly be scrapped and replaced by the fundamentally different US approach to such matters, at least not over the coming decade, whatever the other realignments that may occur. While it is conceivable that Washington might gain an opportunity to pour resources into the island on other fronts (tourism for example, or real estate) the US Congress will never assume financial responsibility for social programmes in Cuba that it flinches from funding even for its own citizens (food stamps, for example, or unemployment benefits or pensions). On the security front, whether denouncing Havana as a source of terrorism or embracing its military as the essential partner for controlling refugee flows and the penetration of organized crime the Pentagon and Homeland Security will be operating on a state-tostate basis with Cuban counterparts that are highly professional and distinctly autonomous. This is why, as Leogrande and Kornbluh (2014) documented so well, even at the moments of greatest conflict between the Castro regime and Washington, certain stabilizing "back channels" were always kept open. This included coastguard communications and some collaboration over hurricanes and similar shared natural hazards.

Under current conditions the scope for co-operation on matters of common interest is much reduced, and the hopeful opening of the end Obama years is long past. However, even today not all US-Cuban interactions are antagonistic. One significant line of bilateral dialogue is apparently channelled through the church hierarchy, as most recently illustrated by the visit of the Cardinal of Boston to Cuba on September 26th 2021 (see González 2021).

But even before the July protests the Biden administration had resiled from its campaign promises to ease the sanctions on Cuba that Trump had tightened just before leaving office (Leogrande 2021). Following the street outbursts and their repercussions in Miami he went further, placing economic sanctions on Cuba's Defence Minister and the "Black Berets" unit of the Interior Ministry, and promising to assist dissidents on the island whose social media access had been interrupted. In October 2021 the US Congress also unanimously adopted a "Helping American Victims Afflicted by Neurological Attacks Act" which was titled the "Havana Act" (notwithstanding the fact that such attacks had taken place in several other countries as well as Cuba, and that while their origins remain unknown it is quite implausible to assign main authorship to the authorities in Havana). Even more implausible is the State Department position that brackets Cuba with Iran, North Korea and Syria as the world's four "state sponsors of terrorism" in 2021.

However, also within the US left Havana's repressive answer to the street protests did leave its mark. Former presidential candidate Bernie Sanders, who still in the 2020 presidential race came out with friendly words for the Cuban government that horrified Cuban Miami, or the figurehead of a Socialist left within the Democratic Party, Alexandria Ocasio Cortez, now came out with words condemning state violence and calling for respect for freedom of expression.

In any case, beyond bilateral relations, Havana's interactions with Washington are also mediated by various third parties- notably Canada, Mexico, the EU, as well as through a range of regional and international fora that require separate analysis. Moreover, the Cuban regime also possesses a complex and diverse network of external relationships with other powers that far from any suspicion of acting as US proxies can rather be classified as potential counterweights - China, Russia, Iran and of course the much degraded but still relevant player that is Venezuela.

CANADA

Although south Florida is seen as the key player in North American positioning towards the Cuban Revolution it is not the sole significant actor there. Indeed the intense and personal animosity Miami directs against the Castro regime has elicited something of a backlash in some other parts of the "Free World", including Canada. It was noteworthy that even the Conservative government of John Diefenbaker resisted pressure from the Kennedy administration to enforce sanctions against Cuba. (See Molinaro 2016). At a more personal level, in 1960 a rising young Canadian liberal politician from Quebec, Pierre Trudeau, was rescued in the straits of Florida while trying to paddle to Cuba. Diefenbaker taunted him in the House for conducting his "love affair" with the Cuban Revolution "by canoe". At his burial forty years later Fidel Castro travelled to Montreal to serve as a pall bearer. Sixteen years later, in 2016, his son, the incoming Canadian premier Justin Trudeau, spoke at the University of Havana in the presence of Raúl Castro and Miguel Díaz-Canel, saying Canada would be a "steadfast and unflinching friend to Cuba. We disagree with the approach the US has taken with Cuba, We think that our approach is much better- of partnership, of collaboration, of engagement" (Trudeau 2016).

On July 15th 2021 the younger Trudeau's government reacted mildly to the protests of July11th, merely stating that "Canada supports the right to freedom of expression and assembly" (although since this risked provoking an electoral backlash Justin soon condemned any official violence). Trudeau junior was re-elected two months later, so this moderate stance towards Havana seems destined to continue. Indeed in August 2021 Canada donated two million syringes to help with the covid vaccination programme that was being impeded by US sanctions, and tourist flows are expected to resume in time for the coming winter season (In 2019 a quarter of all tourists to Cuba- 1.1million entries- came from Canada).

Canada's friendly disposition towards Havana reflects more than the personal inclinations of a handful of politicians. There is an underlying national interest at stake. If the Helms-Burton Act were to fully prevail, as it amongst other aspects mandates a US-approved electoral system with the Fidelistas barred, Cuba would once again become subject to heavy supervision by the US Congress. Such a perspective could have damaging implications for Canada's scope for political independence - a sentiment that also sways nationalist opinion in Mexico and various of the democracies of the Caribbean area. On the economic side, Canada has significant investments in nickel mining and other potential areas that would face massive competition from US investors. In cultural terms, Quebecois insecurities within a predominantly anglophone federation, and academic and intellectual identification with a proud and sophisticated nation subject to external intolerance tend in the same direction.

But there are definite limits to this friendly disposition. Ottawa can only go so far in diverging from Washington on questions considered to be of vital interest to the latter. Moreover, as a liberal democratic regime with a foreign policy that stresses human rights and political dialogue acceptance of an avowedly communist one party regime can only be sustained to the extent that Cuba seems to practice moderation. Canadian public opinion would not remain quiescent in the event of Hong Kong or Belarus styles of open mass repression in Havana. Trudeau junior's government has not hesitated to take a tough line with Ortega's Nicaragua and Maduro's Venezuela, which leaves Díaz-Canel's Cuba can be in little doubt about Ottawa's red lines.

MEXICO

Mexican relations with Cuba reflect similar dynamics. Fidel Castro's 1956 Granma expedition was launched from Mexico, and the Cárdenas wing of the ruling party viewed it as a parallel movement of national liberation. Although the higher reaches of the Mexican government were always ambivalent, and much of the elite disliked Cuban radicalism, Mexico was alone in the western hemisphere in maintaining diplomatic relations, and always opposed US sanctions against Havana. Ana Covarrubias (1996) explains this convergence in terms of

a shared commitment to non-intervention, (although the phrase might be narrowed down to non-intervention against anti-Monroeist regimes). The two governments worked to some extent in parallel over the Central American crises of the 1980s, and the (in domestic respects quite conservative) administration of Carlos Salinas de Gortari hosted the Salvadoran Peace agreement of 1992, working in part with Havana. A similar co-operation helped to advance the peace process in Colombia (the talks were hosted by Havana between 2012 and 2016), another progressive foreign policy offset endorsed by a domestically conservative PRI government. And at present, in 2021, Mexico is hosting a negotiation over the impasse in Venezuela with Cuba, again treated as a valid intermediary. (By contrast even under the Biden administration the State Department continues to classify Cuba as a "state sponsor of terrorism" – together with Iran, North Korea and Syria).

The most striking evidence of Mexican sympathy for the Cuban cause has surfaced following the Trump administration and the pandemic. Within weeks of the July 11th protests the Mexican authorities despatched three shiploads of humanitarian aid-fuel, medicines, and food- to help alleviate the immediate distress. More explicit signs of political solidarity soon followed. Cuban President Díaz-Canel occupied a place of honour at the celebrations commemorating the 211th anniversary of Mexican Independence on September 16th 2021. President López Obrador received him at the Chapultepec Castle and spoke at length on Cuba: "We can agree or disagree with the Cuban Revolution and its Government, but to have resisted 62 years without subjugation is an indisputable historic feat (...) the country should be recognised as the new Numantia and I think for that reason it must be declared a World Heritage Site (...). (So we) respectfully call on the United States to lift the blockade on Cuba because no state has the right to subjugate another people, another country...it is wrong for the US government to use the blockade to hinder the welfare of the Cuban people so that they are forced by necessity to confront their own government. (...) If that perverse strategy were to succeed- which does not seem likely- it would turn into a pyrrhic, vile and despicable victory, one of those stains that cannot be removed even with all the water of the ocean (...) Hopefully Biden, who possesses sufficient political sensitivity (...) will end the policy of aggravating Cuba forever" (cited in: Telesur 2021). Like Canada Mexican airlines are due to restore flights to Cuba as soon as the vaccination process allows.

The OAS has seen a dramatic transformation of its position towards Cuba since Luis Almagro was elected as secretary general in 2015, from an institution seeking the re-integration of Cuba into the hemispheric organization to one closely allied with Washington in attacking Cuba (and the left-wing governments of Venezuela and Nicaragua) for failing to comply with liberal democratic norms (see Geoffray 2021). As John Kirk (2021) reports in his insightful account of international reactions to the 11 July 2021 protests in Cuba, Almagro's call for an OAS emergency session was roundly condemned by 13 Caribbean Community (CARICOM) nations, showing Cuba's ongoing support in the region. He then cites Sir Ronald Sanders, the ambassador of Antigua and Barbuda to the OAS and coordinator of the CARICOM group there, stating that "the OAS can enforce nothing on [Cuba]. Any discussion can only satisfy political hawks with an eye on US mid-term elections, where winning South Florida with the backing of Cuban exiles would be a prize. The task of the OAS should be to promote peaceful and cooperative relations in the hemisphere, not to feed division and conflict."

EUROPE

Tourist flights from Europe are also in the course of being restored to normal, with Spain particularly interested in the reopening of the major hotels. As long as the Trump administration was in office and tightened U.S. sanctions, European nations did not close ranks with Washington D.C. As the Biden administration took a waiting attitude, so did f Europe. However, the nationwide street protests on 11 July 2021 changed matters. The lack of civic liberties in Cuba has always

^{1.} Salinas has published an extensive account of his efforts to mediate between Castro and Clinton in the mid -1990s. He devoted a full chapter to this in his massive *Mexico: Un Paso Dificil a la Modernidad* (editorial Norma, Madrid, 2000), and expanded that into the full length *Muros, Puentes y Litorales: Relaciones entre Mexico, Cuba, y Estados Unidos* (Penguin Random House, Madrid, 2018).

been a thorny issue in EU-Cuban relations, but at the same time there was a ground-floor of goodwill within many West European societies (and governments) on the grounds of Cuba's social development, and a broad reluctance to join the U.S.' aggressive policies. Over the past years this goodwill was reduced due to the accession of post-communist states in Eastern Europe, and even in the west it has also undergone a process of gradual erosion, as Europe's social democratic parties waned and as in Cuba the economic reform process came to a halt and civic voices on the island met with stiff responses from the government.

At the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic Cuba gained much respect internationally by sending medical brigades to fight the pandemic to numerous countries in the world – most prominently, to Bergamo, Italy, the early epicentre of the pandemic in Europe. But this fell out of focus when in the wake of Havana's hard-line response to the 11 July 2021 street protests, the strains in EU-Cuban relations became fully visible. Within the EU's institutional framework, it has been the European Parliament that also before had taken high-profile public stances criticizing the Cuban government, highlighted by the award of the Sakharow prize to the dissident "Ladies in White" in 2005 and to Guillermo Fariñas in 2010. After the 11 July protests, the European Parliament stepped up its position, not only condemning violence against protesters and human rights activists, but also calling on the 27-nation bloc to impose sanctions on those responsible for human rights violations in Cuba. The broad majority for this move – with 426 in favour, 146 against and 115 abstentions – would have been unthinkable in the past. (For a more detailed analysis of EU-Cuban relations see Gratius 2021.)

For Cuba 2021 not only brought a terrible slump in the economy, but the island also saw COVID-19 infection rates sky-rocketing since June when the highly contagious delta variant entered the country in the wake of an ill-prepared opening for tourism. Since then, however, the vaccines developed on the island managed the stage of mass production and the vaccination process has advanced at remarkable speed. As a result, Cuba is re-opening the country once again to international tourism starting 15 November 2021, just in time for the important winter season. As Spain particularly has strong investments in Cuba's tourism industry, this will also weigh in the country's policies towards the island. Also as other European countries will once again see a flow of tourists to the island, even if still well below pre-pandemic levels.

However, also in its bilateral relations with European countries the Cuban government will struggle. Germany will be a case in point. With the change of government and the Greens to head the Foreign Office, Cuba's notion of national sovereignty and its allergic reactions to anything it decries as meddling in its domestic affairs will be at odds with the Greens traditional understanding of standing up for human rights issues anywhere. Moreover, already before the July 11 street the failure to respond with more openness to the emergent bottom-up digital media or to enter into meaningful dialogue with critical artists and intellectuals has eroded some of the tacit support or goodwill Cuba could still count on among many in the social democratic and Green social constituencies in the past. (A heightened ideological confrontation with China will not ease things.)

RUSSIA

Another potential source of tourism has become the Russian Federation. In April 2021 President Putin phoned President Díaz-Canel to congratulate him on his promotion to leadership of the Communist Party, and to propose what he termed a "strategic partnership" in the fight against covid-19. As regards the subsequent July 11th protests the Russian Foreign Ministry promptly labelled them an "attempted colour revolution", and Moscow is also sure to view them through the prism of recent events in Belarus, To assess this source of support requires a long historical perspective and a sharp focus on the geopolitics involved (see Loss/Prieto 2012, Bain 2015, Chaguaceda 2019, and Simes 2020). In contrast to the friendly democratic regimes discussed above, Moscow's approach reflects a national strategy hostile to the West's democracy promotion agenda, and willing to weaken US global leadership in its Caribbean "backyard" (provided the costs and risks involved are not too high).

For three decades after the Cuban Revolution, until the collapse of the USSR, Moscow had provided the fundamental economic, military and political backing that enabled the Castro regime to sustain its high international profile and ambitions. In exchange, Cuba had delivered major benefits both in strategic, symbolic, and also reputational terms, although the partnership was obviously unequal and at times quite stormy. The three decades since the Soviet collapse are far less studied, and yet Cuban-Russian relations are still a significant factor in the island's affairs, and their oscillations have a bearing on current circumstances and near term prospects. The low point came just after Yeltsin replaced Gorbachev and the US Congress adopted the Torricelli Act. Moscow not only withdrew its troops and its aid, but went so far as abstain in the UN vote of 1992 condemning that legislation. Between 1992 and 1994 the Russian Federation actually voted with the US and against Cuba at the UN Human Rights convention in Geneva. But that changed in 1995 (at the time of the first Chechen War), and the following year Moscow joined the vast majority of states that condemned the 1996 Helms-Burton Law codifying and tightening US unilateral sanctions.

It was in that year that the "Latina" Travel Agency was opened, paving the way for Russian tourism at a time when trade and investment flows also restarted. Among other things Cuba desperately needed to import spare parts for all the material it had acquired during the Soviet period. Once Putin came to office he was quick to visit Havana, where he signed an exchange deal for 2001-2005 involving sugar, rum, medicines and medical equipment from the island in return for oil, machinery, and chemicals. Subsequently Cuban nickel overtook the supply of sugar. However, on the other side of the balance sheet, and to Havana's displeasure, the Lourdes military listening post was closed.

Cuba was, however, unable to sustain a balanced trade relationship and by the time of the world financial crisis in 2009 it could no longer service the debts to Moscow that it had accumulated. So it was a new geopolitical event (Russia's annexation of the Crimea in 2014) rather than commercial benefits that spurred further outreach from Moscow during the 2015-2020 period. First Moscow forgave 90% of the outstanding Soviet-era debt of \$22.2 billion, then in 2017 (responding to the crisis in Venezuela) it resumed oil shipments to Havana for the first time since 1990, and committed to revitalize the island's decapitalized rail system. Two years later, as the Trump administration stepped up its pressures, Russia offered help to maintain Soviet era military equipment, and in June 2019 it despatched an advanced warship on a visit to Havana. Foreign Minister Lavrov visited in February 2020, and Putin has twice phoned the new President in 2021. In short, Moscow's long engagement with the Cuban Revolution remains a relevant factor in the island's security, and continues to be driven more by Great Power considerations than by commercial logic.

VENEZUELA

Ideological solidarity was more strikingly in evidence as regards Havana's relations with Caracas, with the instability the economic and commercial links once again very much in evidence. It is important to be aware of the centuries long prehistory and the initial convergence of the Cuban and Venezuelan rebellions on 1958/9, before Caracas and Havana turned into Cold War antagonists. The personal bonds linking Fidel Castro and Hugo Chávez also deserve attention- particularly after the failed coup against Chavez in 2002 that completed his divorce from Washington and precipitated his regime into close security dependence on Cuba. Once oil prices surged the Cuban offer of health care and social mobilization proved a spectacular success, and the two governments (still quite divergent in their beliefs and practices) achieved a decade of partnership and international influence that temporarily promised a breakthrough.

But the foundations for the "Bolivarian" project to establish "twenty-first century socialism" were always fragile, and the death of Chávez followed by the collapse of PDVSA gradually turned a honeymoon into a nightmare. The chimera of Venezuelan largesse as a substitute for Soviet aid has proved another illusion. But Havana is now unable to disengage from its entanglement with Caracas' failed experiment in "21st Century Socialism" and its capacity to

retain solidarity among progressives in the western liberal democracies is undermined by its continuing endorsement of the Ortega autocracy's 2021 electoral charade in Nicaragua.²

It is the downswing in Cuba-Venezuela relations since about 2014 that most concerns us here (see Mesa-Lago/Vidal 2019, Fonseca 2021 and Polga-Hecimovich 2021). Perhaps Havana might have diversified its sources of support and reduced its exposure to the vagaries of the Maduro regime if the Obama administration's "normalization" of relations with Cuba had remained in place, but the arrival of Trump in the White House was followed by the rise of Juan Guaidó to head the Venezuelan National Assembly, with resultant polarising consequences. As the crisis in Caracas spiralled downward Havana scaled back its health and welfare commitments, but maintained its unswerving political support for Maduro and perhaps reinforced its security assistance. (Evidence on the latter point is much disputed, with implausible claims in abundance and few trustworthy sources). To the surprise of many observers Maduro has outlasted "maximum pressure" from the Trump administration, but by the time the pandemic arrived it was no longer able to meet Cuba's needs for imported energy. Although it is possible that negotiations promoted by Norway (and supported by Cuba) might broaden the base for a durable settlement of Venezuela's internal conflicts, and help steer the country towards a slightly more stable oil economy, the scope for an early return to any kind of mutually beneficial exchange between Caracas and Havana is very slender. Nearly all the risks remain on the downside for Cuba- that the negotiations will fail; that US hostility will continue to besiege Maduro; and that Havana's commitment to a floundering regime will generate further problems and harmful consequences, instead of supplying the island with much needed relief.

CHINA

The People's Republic of China might provide a much more solid, broad-based, and long-term source of likeminded partnership -and even some short-term assistance- but it is doubtful whether the authorities in Beijing will judge this a sound move. Diplomatic relations were established in 1960, shortly following the Revolution, but Havana's embrace of Moscow was not to the PRC's liking, and relations were erratic until the fall of the Berlin Wall. In the wake of the Special Period, Fidel Castro travelled to China and seriously examined whether the best solution for Cuban communism might be to learn from their model, but eventually he reported back that this would be unfeasible, given the proximity and dominance of the US and the counter-revolutionary spirit of the exiles in Florida.

In 2011 Beijing agreed to write off \$6 billion of Cuba's old debt. When President Xi Jinping visited Havana in 2014 he stated that "The two countries advance hand in hand (towards) construction of socialism with its own characteristics, offering reciprocal support on issues related to our respective national interests" (our italics). This led to a \$120 million Chinese bank loan to expand the Santiago container port, and the establishment of biopharmaceutical and artificial intelligence enterprises in the Mariel Free Trade Zone. But a proposed \$300 million investment in Cuban nickel and a much larger (\$6 billion) project to upscale the Cienfuegos oil refinery fell through. China partly funded Cuba's ALBA-1 underseas cable to Venezuela, and Huawei has a contract to install fiber optics cables across the island. By 2017 it is reported that Cuba imported \$1.35 million from China (including new cars from Geely and trucks from SinoTruck and buses from Yutong)- but in exchange it only exported \$379 million (mostly raw sugar and nickel). In 2018 Cuba signed up to the Belt and Road initiative to secure tractors, irrigation equipment, and support of sugar and rice production. It also gained a computer assembly plant and renewable energy investments, and opened a Confucius Institute at the University of Havana. While China became a key trading partner for Cuba, the relationship was clearly very lopsided and even before the pandemic prospects for repayment were poor. Whereas China sold weapons systems to likeminded Andean partners it made no such commitments to Cuba.

^{2.} It is telling that ahead of the "Marcha Civica" planned for Cuba on November 15th the most vocal advocates of popular protest in neighbouring Puerto Rico were on the colony's "progressive" wing- the Independence party (PIP) and the Movimiento Civico (MVC).

The most significant security commitment may have been the technology provided by Huawei TP-Link and ZTE to Etecsa, the state Telecoms company (US sanctions meant that Havana had no access to western alternatives). It is alleged (The Diplomat, August 3rd 2021) that these suppliers provide the "key to the regime's ability" to shut off internet and telephone services in the wake of the July 11th protests. According to Sweden's Qurium Huawei's network management software eSight was used to filter web searches. However that may be, on August 31, 2021 President Xi Jinping phoned President Díaz-Canel for the fourth time since the pandemic began, in saying- according to the Xinhua report of that day- "China is willing to walk together with Cuba in building socialism, be good partners in pursuing common development, good exemplars of ant-covid fight, and good partners in strategic coordination". But he also said: "No matter how the situation changes (our italics) China's policy of sticking to long-term friendship with Cuba will not change, and its willingness to deepen co-operation in various field with Cuba will not change" (Xinhua 2021) - and it is worth to underscore the first six words of this sentences as they clearly allow to be read as saying that Beijing, in the end, is not defining its relations with the island as bound to the political status quo or the current regime in power.

Although Cuba had hoped to rely exclusively on its own vaccines to suppress the pandemic, by mid-2021 it was clear that production bottlenecks were slowing this essential rollout and therefore President Díaz-Canel appealed for humanitarian assistance. But President Biden was only prepared to allow external vaccines on to the island if they were distributed by an international organization independent from the Cuban government- an intolerable infringement of sovereignty from Havana's point of view. So at the end of August Cuba agreed to deploy China's Sinopharm vaccine with a Cuban booster. Whether or not these were donated by Beijing has not been clarified, but this incident illustrates the scope for China to select strategic areas where it can usefully "deepen collaboration" with Havana, no matter how the internal issues unfold.

CUBAN VACCINES: CUBA'S NEW SOURCE OF SOFT-POWER DIPLOMACY?

In its international relations, soft-power diplomacy has traditionally been of crucial importance for Cuba, be it the early campaign for alphabetization or cultural institutions such as Casa de las Américas or the Havana Film Festival, be it the sport triumphs at Olympic Games or the medical missions abroad. When Cuba entered into rapprochement with the US in Obama's second term in office, the island's soft-power capital was, again, key for the truly high levels of goodwill and sympathetic perception of Cuba in North America and Europe. Havana became the in-place to be, and pop stars, politicians and business-people all flocked to the island. Politically, in 2016, the Obama's Presidential visit and culturally, the Rolling Stones concert became events that seemed to mark a new era. In hindsight, they marked the zenith after which things once again soured – not only in US-Cuban relations, but also with regard to the timid opening process within Cuba itself.

Under Trump, Cold War returned to US-Cuban relations. While the island suffered from the economic fallout of sharpened US sanctions and an eroding image due to its domestic failures to reform, it could count on Trump's bullying eliciting so much adversity throughout the Western world and within Latin America which played perfectly into the hands of Cuba's traditional David vs. Goliath imagery. Even if other sources of soft power eroded, this held firm to provide a baseline of international (even if defensive) support.

At the onset of the Corona crisis Cuba once again was able to turn its medical sector into a key instrument of international soft power projection as the island quickly sent its Henry Reeves brigades of doctors and medical staff to numerous countries affected by the pandemic. Most notably this included the Italian city of Bergamo whose devastating images of a collapsed hospital in the rich North became emblematic and put it at the center of global attention. That here help came not from the European neighbors, but from poor and distant Cuba was seen to underscore not only Cuba's medical achievement but also the country's humanitarian vocation.

Cuba honed in on its reputation as an international medical power punching way above its weight when it started to develop its own anti-COVID-19 vaccines (Drexler/Hoffmann 2021). However, before these -going by the name of Abdala and Soberana- became available in sufficient quantity, in summer 2020 the island itself became an epicentre of the global pandemic as the Delta variant spread with such force that the health system -the piece of pride of Cuba's social achievements- was quickly overrun. The dramatic health crisis in combination with the dire economic situation were the somber background for the 11 July street protests. However, while the Cuban vaccines are not yet recognized by the WHO, once the island managed to mass produce the vaccines they did prove their worth. The vaccination campaign – with more than 80% fully vaccinated as of November 2021 – was key to bringing the pandemic under control, falling from more than 9,000 cases daily at its peak in August to some 200 by the end of November.

This success allowed Cuba to restart international tourism by mid-November without fearing a new wave of the pandemic to hit the island. Moreover, as much of the Caribbean suffers from low vaccination rates, the mass roll-out of vaccines (which will also include vaccine updates to become a routine health care provision over the coming years) makes Cuba stand out in the Caribbean where in most of the neighboring islands vaccination rates are low. This could give Cuba a competitive edge in marketing the island as a "safe" tourist destination.

However, Cuba's ambitions go further. Beyond domestic use, hopes are that the vaccines can become export products to generate foreign exchange, and, importantly, leverage diplomatic gains. Given the lack of international recognition of the vaccines as well as the greatly increased availability of other vaccines, initial ideas to spark vaccine tourism to Cuba certainly will not materialise much. But country-to-country exports to Venezuela and Vietnam or licensed production in Iran have begun. Cuban vaccines are not sophisticated mRNA or vector vaccines but based on "old-school" technology of protein-subunit-based vaccines, as such their great advantage is their comparatively simple production process and their ease of handling, which requieres no deep-freeze storage. A drawback is a lack of independent monitoring of their efficacy, but above all their lack of international recognition. But even with these limitations, Cuba's home-grown vaccines have revived some of the soft power diplomacy historically associated with Cuba's medical achievements. However, this is no magic bullet, and whether it is enough to off-set the troubles from other end is a wholly different matter.

CONCLUSION

Cuba is a strategically located nation state with a proud history and strong cultural identity and a globally recognized political profile. But it has only a quite modest population (the same as both Haiti and the Dominican Republic), and a crippled economy. It still projects an international presence far beyond the scale of its domestic base, and the success or failure of Havana's revolutionary endeavours matters to external opinion far more than its reduced capabilities would suggest. In short, the Castro regime offended US national pride, and generated an unequal contest with Washington that still conditions international reactions to the fate of the island. The result is a precarious *stasis* with Havana and Washington both heavily invested in incompatible narratives and worldviews, while the rest of the world -from the most liberal of democracies to the most hardline of autocracies- all viewing the contest with a certain degree of incomprehension (even as they take sides for human rights issues or against the extra-territoriality of U.S. sanctions under the Helms-Burton act.)

For the first half century after the Revolution the Cuban regime was successful in separating external assessments of its affairs from the domestic sphere. It could rely on a wellspring of national sentiment and a controlled internal public opinion to support its assertions of sovereignty against the domineering "empire" to its north. Those islanders who doubted its message could typically leave, but then they would lose much of their access to their families and communities of origin. (Just to put this into comparative perspective this clearly is different from, for instance, the Korean case, where the rupture was most absolute and the fate of internal dissenters was even more dire, and the Cuban variant of communism where

neither exile nor domestic sanction was so determinant, and where much regime legitimacy was gained through more positive means). Over the past decade, however, Havana has progressively lost ascendancy over the domestic narrative as its capacity to deliver has faltered, while cautious liberalization has opened up more exposure to external influences (through tourism, remittances, foreign travel for nationals, social media advances, and the effects of the 2014 Obama "normalization" programme). A more plural, and more disenchanted, citizenry has emerged. With exit blocked and loyalty to the regime fading that left the third pillar of Hirschman's trio of potential responses to poor conditions: voice (Hirschman 1970). When in the state-sponsored referendum in 2019 the people endorsed the reformed Constitution many may not simply have acted out of obedience to authority, but also harboured hopes that the revised Magna Charta may allow for greater voice on key public issues.

For the most part external observers have little exposure to such glacial realignments of Cuban domestic sentiment. The varied reactions to the Covid pandemic and the July 11th protests surveyed in this chapter are not driven primarily by an informed appreciation of the changing attitudes and balance of forces within the island. As in the past they largely reflect the assumptions and political commitments of the diverse outside players engaged in the ongoing Cuban drama. The established pattern has been for such actors to project onto the blank slate of internal Cuban opinion the beliefs and expectations derived from their own worldviews, ideals and pragmatic considerations. The real task of revising and adjusting those interpretations in the light of feedback emanating directly from the Cuban people is still a matter for future elaboration, not a process already under way. The three-stage programme of this Foro Europa-Cuba project, with shared participation and distribution both on and off the island, is one attempt from a European perspective to advance such dialogue and mutual approximation.

In the short run it remains difficult for outsiders to assess the scale and distribution of domestic opinion concerning post-pandemic Cuba's alternatives. Setting aside the impulses transmitted from outside of the island, it seems clear that islanders have expressed some forceful views about family law, in addition to their protests over shortages and social hardships. Reassertions of local identities, and perhaps some revival of religiosity, may also be emerging. On the most directly political issues of policing, official censorship, and redress for government errors polemics still crowd out trustworthy testimony and solid evidence. Past cycles of regime "tira y afloja" (tug of war) make it difficult to distinguish temporary clamp downs from major inflection points. Credible observers report that in contrast to previous periods, this time the regime's intolerance of even quite moderate expressions of dissent may be proving unacceptable to major segments of the hard-pressed Cuban people, especially to many educated young people who make extensive use of still available social media outlets. This chapter has focussed on external reactions to the 2021 protests, but even where we reported relatively supportive outside responses these are generally tentative and conditional on domestic calm. Such foreign partners could easily pull back when they came to the conclusion that a clear majority of the Cuban people are withdrawing their acquiescence to the prevailing dispensation.

But external observers can also reflect on probable longer term dynamics. Looking say a decade ahead, regardless of how the current emergency unfolds, Cuba will still be a highly organized and independent sovereign state. Biden's latest references to "state failure" (CNN 2021) may apply to Haiti, but sorely mischaracterise this case.

Even if the Díaz-Canel leadership remains in power, this cohort will no longer be entitled to hold office, and one way or another the islanders will be called upon to consider the next stage in their national development. It remains to be seen whether the forces of continuity can prevail over pressures for accelerated change. ("Patria o Muerte" compared with "Patria y Vida" to cite the currently alternative slogans). Too much continuity would almost certainly accentuate the demographic decline and internal demoralization of the past decade. Too reckless a course correction is likely to create cross straits population flows and asset redistributions so violent that they destabilize the wider region, perhaps opening the way for an upsurge in organized crime and even armed confrontations. The international community therefore has a collective interest in supporting some intermediate path over the coming decade.

Various analysts have reacted to the events of July 11th and the sequels by observing that the myth of Cuban "exceptionalism" has been destroyed. It is true that the long cultivated rosy official image of the Cuban Revolution was substantially dented, and that underlying currents of dissatisfaction and dissent have now surfaced in a manner that will be hard to disguise, let alone to reverse. It is still too early to be sure whether this marks a true breakpoint, or whether the regime can succeed in containing the shock and reconstructing its legitimizing narrative. But in any case the core of the "exceptionalist" thesis was much broader, and far less dependent on Havana's self-description, than this critique supposes.³ Scholarly attempts to explain the course of Cuba's national development by shoehorning its long-term political trajectory into any of the standard formulae- authoritarian (or totalitarian) deviation; Communist Party takeover; Third World charismatic nationalist revolution; Soviet proxy; let alone historically inevitable exercise in building socialism- all these framing devices are more liable to distort or mislead about the history of the past six decades than to illuminate them. There are still major anomalies in the unfolding of this experience that require careful Cuba- specific analysis. Although the Fidelista prospectus of voluntarist transformation unconstrained by any of the normal forces determining political possibility has been tested to destruction the resulting regime is still fairly unlike what any of these predictive schemas would foretell.

Perhaps 2021 will be viewed in retrospect as the moment when the crushing pressures of social reality extinguished all the regime's margin of manoeuvre, but that juncture has been falsely proclaimed on many previous occasions, and even in current straitened circumstances it would be prudent to acknowledge that Havana still has significant defensive resources. This chapter has outlined the international assets it retains, and our previous volume highlighted the unusual strengths (as well as acute weaknesses) of its domestic policy inheritance.

So perhaps Cuba is now less "exceptional" than it was in the past, but even so it remains quite sui generis, and false analogies with other political trajectories still need to be debunked. President Biden may think Cuba is a "failed state", but a Washington policy that confuses Cuba with Haiti is unlikely to work well. In contrast to Puerto Rico, Cuba is not an unincorporated territory of the US, under the ultimate authority of the US Congress, and it does not have the remotest prospect of being admitted as an additional state of the Union. Whatever else happens it will remain a separate sovereign nation, internationally recognized as such, and required to provide for its own citizens rather than to seek welfare handouts from Washington.

Unlike Venezuela, the Cuban nation has always been energy-short, and has had no alternative to relying on its human capital to generate any productive capacity it may have. Whereas the Bolivarian Republic has turned into a mismanaged electoral autocracy with very reduced internal legitimacy, Cuba has been consistent in resisting electoral contestation, and in claiming patriotic unity on programmatic grounds. In contrast to the oligarchic democracy of Honduras the revolutionary regime has never allowed the US military presence in Guantanamo Bay to exercise the slightest of influence over the internal affairs of the republic. Unlike the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Cuban communism did not degenerate into dynastic rule by a family, it is not bent on acquiring a nuclear weapons capability, and does not operate a gulag system of repressive control. In contrast to the German Democratic Republic the Castro revolution was not imposed by the Red Army on one occupied zone of a defeated enemy, and its claims to nationalist authenticity were projected across the entire territory on the basis of its own internally generated legitimacy. Unlike the Soviet Union, Cuban communism was not continental in scale, and Cuba's "socialism in one country" signified a compact project with a restricted geopolitical potential that rested for its survival on winning international goodwill, through persuasion and alliances, soft power projection and an ambitious foreign policy, rather than by projecting hegemonic dominance. The Yeltsin debacle inoculated various party regimes against perestroika and glasnost, with Cuba one of the first to resist that siren song. To sum up, even if Cuba is less "exceptional" than some previous authors imagined, it is different enough from all these comparators to require a separate evaluation based on its own reality, not that of any supposed counterparts.

^{3.} For a broader discussion of the debate on Cuban exceptionalism see Hoffmann / Whitehead 2007.

From comparative experience as well as the evidence of domestic tendencies it would be prudent to assume that a substantial current of opinion will continue to value various key aspects of the "revolutionary" inheritance, while the strength of pressures for a different social pact will depend in large part on the influence (or otherwise) of the emigrant community mainly in Florida, and on whether any internal leverage it might obtain is used to bridge the gap in worldviews, rather than to attempt the extreme project of suppressing all traces of the past six decades. As indicated in the course of this chapter, such a "Plattist" (referring to the early years of Cuba's independence under US tutelage) ambition may remain alive and well in Dade County, but on the international stage it would be greeted with widespread scepticism, if not indignation. On balance it seems more realistic to conclude that the last word will rest with the inhabitants of the island.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bain, Mervyn J. (2015): "Back to the Future?" Cuban—Russian relations under Raúl Castro; in: Communist and Post-Communist Studies; (2015) 48 (2-3): 159-168
- Chaguaceda, Armando (2019): The bear comes to the West: The Russian agenda in Latin America; in: Global Americans, 20 March 2019, https://theglobalamericans.org/2019/03/thebear-comes-to-the-west-the-russian-agenda-in-latin-america (accessed 16 Dec 2021)
- CNN (2021): Biden says Cuba is a 'failed state' and calls communism 'a universally failed system'; CNN July 16, 2021; https://edition.cnn.com/2021/07/15/politics/cuba-communism-biden/index.html (accessed 18 Nov 2021)
- Covarrubias, Ana (1996): Cuba and Mexico: A Case for Mutual Nonintervention; Cuban Studies 26 pp 121-41
- Feinberg, Richard (2021): The Geopolitics of Cuba-Venezuela-US Relations: An Informal Note; in: Cynthia Arnson (ed) Venezuela's Authoritarian Allies: The Ties that Bind (Washington DC: The Wilson Center, pp. 122-135
- Fonseca, Brian / Polga-Hecimovich, John (2021): Two Nations, One Revolution: The Evolution of Contemporary Cuba-Venezuela Relations in: Cynthia Arnson (ed) Venezuela's Authoritarian Allies: The Ties that Bind (Washington DC: The Wilson Center, pp. 102-121
- Geoffray, Marie-Laure (forthcoming): The OAS and the repolitization of the Cuban question in the Americas; Foro Europa-Cuba Working Paper Series
- González, Lenier (2021): ¿Qué implica la visita del cardenal Sean Patrick O'Malley a Cuba?; Inter American Dialogue, September 26th 2021, URL: https://www.thedialogue.org/blogs/2021/09/que-implica-la-visita-del-cardenal-sean-patrick-omalley-a-cuba/
- Hirschman, Albert O. (1970): Exit, Voice, and Loyalty. Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Hoffmann, Bert (ed.) (2021): Social Policies and Institutional Reform in Post-COVID Cuba; Opladen, Berlin, Toronto: Barbara Budrich
- Hoffmann, Bert / Drexler, Felix (2021): COVID-19 in Latin America: Where We Stand and What Is to Come; Hamburg: GIGA Focus 5/2021; URL: https://assets.ctfassets.net/jlhgjubhhjuo/1fSC24PDvMXDXPc5rqc1RD/42d576d31ae2ce87f7236f2d86b590b5/web_LA 2021 05.pdf
- Hoffmann, Bert / Whitehead, Laurence (eds.) (2007): Debating Cuban Exceptionalism; New York: Palgrave/Macmillan
- Kirk, John M. (2021): The International Reaction to 11J; contribution to: The Road Ahead Cuba after the 11 July Protests (Symposium); American University, Center for Latin American and Latino Studies; URL: https://www.american.edu/centers/latin-american-latino-studies/cuba-after-the-july-11-protests-kirk.cfm
- Leogrande, William M. (2021): Not a Top Priority: Why Joe Biden embraced Donald Trump's Cuba policy; contribution to: The Road Ahead Cuba after the 11 July Protests (Symposium); American University, Center for Latin American and Latino Studies; URL: https://www.american.edu/centers/latin-american-latino-studies/upload/leogrande_us-cuban-relations.pdf
- Leogrande, William / Kornbluh, Peter (2014): Back Channel to Cuba: The Hidden History of Negotiations Between Washington and Havana; Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina

Press

- Loss, Jacqueline / Prieto, Jose Manuel (eds) (2021): Caviar with Rum: Cuba, the USSR, and the Post-Soviet Experience; New York: Palgrave / Macmillan
- Mesa-Lago, Carmelo / Vidal, Pavel: "El Impacto en la Economía Cubana de la Crisis en Venezuela y las Políticas de Trump"; Madrid: Instituto Elcano de Asuntos Internacionales, Documento de Trabajo, 2019. URL: http://www.mesa-lago.com/uploads/2/7/3/1/27312653/w_el-impacto-economia-cubana-crisis-venezolana-politicas-donald-trump2019.pdf
- Mesa-Lago, Carmelo (2021): Cuba en la era de Raúl Castro. Reformas económico-sociales y sus efectos; Madrid: Editorial Colibrí
- Molinaro, Denis (2016): 'Calculated diplomacy': John Diefenbaker and the origins of Canada's Cuba policy; in: Wright Robert / Wylie, Lana (eds.): Our Place in the Sun. Canada and Cuba in the Castro era; Toronto: Toronto University Press, pp. 75-95
- Perera, Eduardo (2021) "La cooperación bilateral UE-Cuba: Desafíos y oportunidades" Working paper vol. 25 Foro Europa- Cuba Jean Monnet Network. December.
- Salinas de Gortari, Carlos (2000): Mexico: Un Paso Dificil a la Modernidad; Madrid: editorial Norma
- Salinas de Gortari, Carlos (2018): Madrid, 2000): Muros, Puentes y Litorales: Relaciones entre Mexico, Cuba, y Estados Unidos; Madrid: Penguin Random House
- Telesur (2021): AMLO Urges Biden Once Again To Lift US Blockade Against Cuba; 16 Sept 2021. URL: https://www.telesurtv.net/news/mexico-cuba-celebracion-bicentenario-20210916-0011.html
- Trudeau, Justin (2016): Prime Minister Trudeau delivers remarks at the University of Havana; 16 Nov 2016; (Website of the Prime Minister of Canada, accessed 20 Nov 2021) URL: https://pm.gc.ca/en/videos/2016/11/16/prime-minister-trudeau-delivers-remarks-university-havana
- Xinhua (2021): Xi says China willing to walk together with Cuba in building socialism; XinhuaNet, 30 August 2021, http://www.news.cn/english/2021-08/30/c_1310157364.htm (accessed: 18 Nov 2021)
- Whitehead, Laurence (2021): Governance Challenges in Contemporary Cuba: Social Policies and the UN's Sustainable Development Goals; in: Hoffmann, Bert (ed.): Social Policies and Institutional Reform in Post-COVID Cuba; Opladen, Berlin, Toronto: Barbara Budrich, pp. 17-45

La serie de documentos de trabajo del Foro Europa-Cuba sirve para difundir los resultados de la investigación del trabajo en progreso antes de la publicación para fomentar el intercambio de ideas y el debate académico. La inclusión de un artículo en la serie Documentos de trabajo no constituye publicación. Se aplica la licencia CC-BY-NC-SA.



El "Foro Europa-Cuba" es una iniciativa nacida en 2017 compuesta por un consorcio de once instituciones líderes que comparten una consolidada experiencia en investigación sobre asuntos cubanos y las relaciones entre Europa y Cuba, con coordinación del CIDOB, Barcelona. La creación de la Red Jean Monnet Foro Europa-Cuba, co-financiada por el programa Erasmus+ de la Unión Europea, permitirá analizar los procesos de reforma económica, política, social e institucional en curso en la isla, tan necesarios para su mejor inserción global, regional e interregional. http://www.foroeuropacuba.org/



LAS ENTIDADES PARTICIPANTES EN EL FORO EUROPA CUBA JEAN MONNET NETWORK SON:





















